

# ALLEN & OVERY

## *Second Medical Use Patent Enforcement*

Nicola Dagg  
Allen & Overy LLP  
Partner, Global Chair Lifesciences Practice  
Head of UK Intellectual Property Litigation  
[nicola.dagg@allenoverly.com](mailto:nicola.dagg@allenoverly.com)



April 2015

# The reality of generic “skinny labels” .....

1	INN/generic prescribing - 83%
2	indication is not on the prescription
3	pharmacist will cross dispense
4	generic medicine will be used for the patented indication

**... and everyone knows it!**

# The test for infringement: the “mens rea”?

## Direct infringement

- A**
- invention = EPC 2000 claim , product, ie medicine
  - S.60(1)(a) Patents Act 1977
  - who infringes? – Supplier of medicine, ie generic company
  - mens rea: (via claim interpretation) knowing the medicine will be used for the patented indication
- B**
- invention = Swiss form claim, process (making, packaging, labelling, obtaining skinny MA for product) of preparation of medicine for patented indication
  - S.60(1)(c) Patents Act 1977; “*where the invention is a process...he disposes of...any product obtained directly by means of that process*”
  - who infringes? – Supplier of medicine (being a product of the process), ie generic company
  - mens rea: (via claim interpretation) knowing the medicine will be used for the patented indication

## Contributory infringement

- invention = Swiss form claim, process ending with dispensing of the medicine for patented indication
- who infringes? – Supplier of the “means relating to an essential element of the invention” (the medicine), ie generic company
- mens rea: in the statutory provision, knowing the medicine will be used for the patented indication

## The generic company should ...

Clear a path for itself before the Courts and then launch:

- patent invalid; and/or
- declaration of non-infringement; OR

Obtain changes to:

- clinical commissioning software system; and
  - prescribing software;
- to only permit prescribing by generic/INN name for the specific active ingredient for non-patented indications

Launch at risk but modest “design around” with:

- a removable label/sticker that specifies eg: “NOT TO BE DISPENSED FOR EPILEPSY”
- obligation on pharmacist to make reasonable check on the indication
- contractual restrictions with pharmacist customers: eg: “your total supply from generic sources of [active ingredient] shall not exceed 21% of your total supplies of that product”

Be upfront with the innovator in good time to clarify reimbursement categorisations

- Innovator branded product Category C price to remain and pharmacist to be reimbursed for any branded prescription at that price.

**THEN: open market competition on price between innovator and generics for non-patented indications.**

# Questions?

These are presentation slides only. The information within these slides does not constitute definitive advice and should not be used as the basis for giving definitive advice without checking the primary sources.

Allen & Overy means Allen & Overy LLP and/or its affiliated undertakings. The term partner is used to refer to a member of Allen & Overy LLP or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications or an individual with equivalent status in one of Allen & Overy LLP's affiliated undertakings.